# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name and Address

TWELVE OAKS MEDICAL CENTER c/o HOLLAWAY & GUMBERT 3701 KIRBY DRIVE, SUITE 1288 HOUSTON TX 77098-3926

Carrier's Austin Representative Box #14

**Respondent Name** 

TRUCK INSURANCE EXCHANGE

MFDR Date Received NOVEMBER 14, 2005

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-06-1935-01

### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary Dated November 10, 2005: "...our law firm has been retained by the Requestor, Twelve Oaks Medical Center in connection with its request for medical dispute resolution."

Requestor's Position Summary Dated December 3, 2005: "...Under Rule 134.401(c)(6) of the acute care inpatient hospital fee guideline of the Division, this claim would be reimbursed at the stop-loss rate of 75% as the total audited charges exceed the minimum stop-loss threshold of \$40,000 resulting in a reimbursement of \$99,999.29."

**Amount in Dispute: \$21,362.06** 

# RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary Dated November 22, 2005: A position statement was not provided.

Respondent's Supplemental Position Summary Dated December 15, 2005: "Provider should not have been reimbursed at 75% of its billed charges....in the first place because the services provided during the admission were not unusually extensive and costly."

Response Submitted by: STONE LOUGHLIN & SWANSON

### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Disputed Dates	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 11 through 18, 2004	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$21,362.06	\$0.00

# FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 and §133.307, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to requests filed on or after January 1, 2003, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.3 sets out the computation of time.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401, 22 *Texas Register* 6264, effective August 1, 1997, sets out the fee guidelines for inpatient services rendered in an acute care hospital.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, 27 *Texas Register* 4047, effective May 16, 2002, sets out the guidelines for a fair and reasonable amount of reimbursement in the absence of a contract or an applicable division fee guideline.

The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of Benefits dated January 12, 2005

• F - Fee Guidelines MAR Reduction

#### **Issues**

- 1. Did the requestor timely file for medical fee dispute resolution?
- 2. Did the audited charges exceed \$40,000.00?
- 3. Did the admission in dispute involve unusually extensive services?
- 4. Did the admission in dispute involve unusually costly services?
- 5. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

### **Findings**

This dispute relates to inpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401, titled Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, effective August 1, 1997, 22 Texas Register 6264. The Third Court of Appeals' November 13, 2008 opinion in Texas Mutual Insurance Company v. Vista Community Medical Center, LLP, 275 South Western Reporter Third 538, 550 (Texas Appeals – Austin 2008, petition denied) addressed a challenge to the interpretation of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401. The Court concluded that "to be eligible for reimbursement under the Stop-Loss Exception, a hospital must demonstrate that the total audited charges exceed \$40,000 and that an admission involved unusually costly and unusually extensive services." Both the requestor and respondent in this case were notified via form letter that the mandate for the decision cited above was issued on January 19, 2011. Each was given the opportunity to supplement their original MDR submission, position or response as applicable. The documentation filed by the requestor and respondent to date will be considered in determining whether the admission in dispute is eligible for reimbursement under the stop-loss method of payment. Consistent with the Third Court of Appeals' November 13, 2008 opinion, the division will address whether the total audited charges in this case exceed \$40,000; whether the admission and disputed services in this case are unusually extensive; and whether the admission and disputed services in this case are unusually costly. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(2)(C) states, in pertinent part, that "Independent reimbursement is allowed on a case-by-case basis if the particular case exceeds the stop-loss threshold as described in paragraph (6) of this subsection..." 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6) puts forth the requirements to meet the three factors that will be discussed.

- 1. Respondent raised the issue of timely filing in its supplemental position summary as follows: "Provider's request for dispute resolution was not filed with the Commission until 11/14/05. Therefore, charges incurred on dates of service 11/11/04, 11/12/04, and 11/13/04, are time-barred and are not subject to review, pursuant to the Commission's One-Year Rule for requesting dispute resolution..." 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 (c)(1)(a) requires that requests for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR) be filed within one year after a date of service. This request for MFDR was received by the Division on Monday, November 14, 2005. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.3 (a)(3) states, "unless otherwise specified, if the last day of any period is not a working day, the period is extended to include the next day that is a working day." The one-year filing deadline was Friday, November 11, 2005; however, according to Division records, the Division was closed that day in observance of Veterans' Day. The medical fee dispute was timely filed.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6)(A)(i) states "...to be eligible for stop-loss payment the total audited charges for a hospital admission must exceed \$40,000, the minimum stop-loss threshold." Furthermore, (A) (v) of that same section states "...Audited charges are those charges which remain after a bill review by the insurance carrier has been performed..." Review of the explanation of benefits issued by the carrier finds that the carrier did not deduct any charges in accordance with §134.401(c)(6)(A)(v); therefore the audited charges equal \$133,332.37. The division concludes that the total audited charges exceed \$40,000.

- 3. The requestor in its position statement asserts that "Under Rule 134.401(c)(6) of the acute care inpatient hospital fee guideline of the Division, this claim would be reimbursed at the stop-loss rate of 75% as the total audited charges exceed the minimum stop-loss threshold of \$40,000..." The requestor presumes that it is entitled to the stop loss method of payment because the audited charges exceed \$40,000. As noted above, the Third Court of Appeals in its November 13, 2008 opinion rendered judgment to the contrary. The Court concluded that "to be eligible for reimbursement under the Stop-Loss Exception, a hospital must demonstrate that the total audited charges exceed \$40,000 and that an admission involved...unusually extensive services." The requestor failed to discuss or demonstrate that the particulars of the admission in dispute constitute unusually extensive services; therefore, the division finds that the requestor did not meet 28 TAC §134.401(c)(6).
- 4. In regards to whether the services were unusually costly, the requestor presumes that because the bill exceeds \$40,000, the stop loss method of payment should apply. The Third Court of Appeals' November 13, 2008 opinion concluded that in order to be eligible for reimbursement under the stop-loss exception, a hospital must *demonstrate* that an admission involved unusually costly services thereby affirming 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6) which states that "Stop-loss is an independent reimbursement methodology established to ensure fair and reasonable compensation to the hospital for unusually costly services rendered during treatment to an injured worker." The requestor failed to discuss the particulars of the admission in dispute that constitute unusually costly services; therefore, the division finds that the requestor failed to meet 28 TAC §134.401(c)(6).
- 5. For the reasons stated above the services in dispute are not eligible for the stop-loss method of reimbursement. Consequently, reimbursement shall be calculated pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(1) titled *Standard Per Diem Amount* and §134.401(c)(4) titled *Additional Reimbursements*. The division notes that additional reimbursements under §134.401(c)(4) apply only to bills that do not reach the stop-loss threshold described in subsection (c)(6) of this section.
  - Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(3)(ii) states, in pertinent part, that "The applicable Workers' Compensation Standard Per Diem Amount (SPDA) is multiplied by the length of stay (LOS) for admission..." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the length of stay for this admission was six surgical days and one ICU/CCU day; therefore the standard per diem amounts of \$1,118.00 and \$1,560.00 apply respectively. The per diem rates multiplied by the allowable days result in a total allowable amount of \$8268.00.
  - 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(4)(B) allows that "When medically necessary the following services indicated by revenue codes shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate: (iv) Blood (revenue codes 380-399)." A review of the submitted hospital bill finds that the requestor billed \$768.00 for revenue code 381-Packed Red Cells. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(D), requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor does not demonstrate or justify that the amount sought for revenue code 381 would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement. Additional payment cannot be recommended.
  - 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(4)(C) states "Pharmaceuticals administered during the admission and greater than \$250 charged per dose shall be reimbursed at cost to the hospital plus 10%. Dose is the amount of a drug or other substance to be administered at one time." A review of the submitted itemized statement finds that the requestor billed \$329.00/unit for Vancomycin 1gm. The requestor did not submit documentation to support what the cost to the hospital was for this item billed under revenue code 250. For that reason, reimbursement for this item cannot be recommended.
  - The division notes that 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(4)(A), states "When medically necessary the following services indicated by revenue codes shall be reimbursed at cost to the hospital plus 10%: (i) Implantables (revenue codes 275, 276, and 278), and (ii) Orthotics and prosthetics (revenue code 274)." Review of the requestor's medical bill finds that the following items were billed under revenue code 278 and are therefore eligible for separate payment under §134.401(c)(4)(A):

Charge Code	Itemized Statement Description	Cost Invoice Description	UNITS / Cost Per Unit	Total Cost	Cost + 10%
81389991	Cancesslous chips	Cancellous chips	1@\$525.00	\$525.00	\$577.50
	Cougar 10 16mm	Cougar implant sm 16mm 10DEG	1 @ \$4560.00	\$4560.00	\$5016.00
	Moss crosslink	Not supported	NA	NA	NA
	Moss inner screw	MMSI single inner setscrew	1 @ \$135.00	\$135.00	\$148.50
	Moss SI 6x40 scw	MMSI poly screw 6mmx40mm	1 @ \$850.50	\$850.50	\$935.55
	Moss SI 75mm rod	Mon rod, pre-bent 75mm, TI	1 @ \$260.00	\$260.00	\$286.00
	MTF tissue allgf	Not supported	NA	NA	NA
	24mm staple 2un	Staple, 24mm	1 @ \$985.00	\$985.00	\$1083.50
	25mm screw 2un	Anti backout screw, 25mm	1 @ \$495.00	\$495.00	\$544.50
			TOTAL ALLOW	ABLE \$859	1.55

The division concludes that the total allowable for this admission is \$16,859.55. The respondent issued payment in the amount of \$78,637.22. Based upon the documentation submitted, no additional reimbursement can be recommended.

### Conclusion

The submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The requestor in this case demonstrated that the audited charges exceed \$40,000, but failed to demonstrate that the disputed inpatient hospital admission involved unusually extensive services, and failed to demonstrate that the services in dispute were unusually costly. Consequently, 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(1) titled Standard Per Diem Amount, and §134.401(c)(4) titled Additional Reimbursements are applied and result in no additional reimbursement.

#### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

# **Authorized Signature**

		November	2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution	Date	
		November	2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution	Date	

# YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for

a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.